



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/857,020	05/31/2001	Yasuhiro Shiraishi	Q64727	7655
7590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
Macpeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	45/11
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/857,020	YASUHIRO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I	May 2004.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
(PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/857,020

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see # 10 filed 05/12/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 8-13 under U.S.C. (103) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Suzuki et al. (USPN 4833358).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suganuma (USPN 5767609) in view of Suzuki et al. (USPN 4833358).

Regarding claims 8, 11 and 13, Suganuma teaches a pulse generator (130), and discloses a drive state detecting circuit detecting the drive state of the ultrasonic motor, as well as a drive frequency (voltage) setting circuit controlling the frequency (or voltage) of signal for driving the ultrasonic motor. See the abstract. Suganuma further teaches that the pulse generator generates pulses in response to the speed of the rotor (100-1), and discloses a drive speed setting circuit (9) with respect to an amplifier (93) which determines and amplifies the difference between the voltage signal from the F/V converter and the reference voltage supply (92). See col. 16, lines 7-

Art Unit: 2674

20. However, Suganuma does not teach determining a change in frequency with respect to the pulses. Suzuki on the other hand teaches frequency determination circuit includes an oscillating circuit, the output frequency of which is changed according to the output level of a pulse voltage converting circuit. See col. 3, lines 17-51 and Fig. 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Suganuma's driving device for ultrasonic motor to adapt Suzuki's frequency determination circuit along with a pulse voltage converting circuit for the purpose of determining the frequency change. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Suzuki that frequency determination circuit along with a pulse voltage converting circuit equivalently determine a change in frequency with respect to pulses.

Regarding claims 9-10, Suganuma teaches drive voltage setting means (40) to ensure that the output voltage no longer increases or decreases when output voltage VM reaches a predetermined maximum or minimum value. See col. 23, lines 46-50.

Regarding claim 12, Suganuma teaches a drive frequency setting means (20) (Fig. 29) and discloses a pulse generator (130) sending a pulse signal of a frequency depending on speed feedback voltage.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,020

Art Unit: 2674

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Abbas Abdulselam whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

July 20, 2004

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER